FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: HB0459 **Title:** Generally revise MEPA

Primary

Sponsor: Douglas Mood **Status:** Second House, Third Reading,

As Amended

EX/2002

Sponsor signature Date Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director Date

EX/2002

Fiscal Summary

| | P Y 2002 <u>Difference</u> | F Y 2003 <u>Difference</u> |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Expenditures: State Special Revenue | 72,000 | 72,000 |
| Revenue: | 0 | 0 |
| Net Impact on General Fund Balance: | \$0 | \$0 |

| Yes | No X | Significant Local Gov. Impact | Yes No | Technical Concerns |
|-----|---------|----------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| | X | Included in the Executive Budget | X | Significant Long-Term Impacts |
| | X | Dedicated Revenue Form Attached | X | Family Impact Form Attached |

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

Department of Fish Wildlife and Parks

- 1. The environmental review required in this bill means any environmental assessment, environmental impact statement, or other written analysis by the department of a proposed action.
- 2. The Fisheries Division annually does environmental assessments for 200 pond permits and 50 future fisheries projects.

Fiscal Note Request, <u>HB0459</u>, <u>Second House</u>, <u>Third Reading</u>, <u>As Amended</u> Page 2 (continued)

- 3. The Wildlife Division annually does environmental assessments for 45 habitat enhancement projects and 5 conservation easement projects.
- 4. The Enforcement Division annually does 15 environmental assessments on game farm expansions, shooting preserves and fur farms.
- 5. This bill will require additional economic viability studies and consultation with project sponsors. The department does not have the expertise to perform the economic studies so will need to contract this work out at \$50 per hour. Conservation easement environmental assessments will require 40 hours each while all other environmental assessments will require four hours to complete.

Department of Transportation

6. Whereas the amendment has language that states the requirements of certain subsections do not apply to agencies that are preparing an EIS pursuant to NEPA federal requirements, there is no longer a fiscal impact for MDT.

FISCAL IMPACT:

| FWP Expenditures: | FY2002 <u>Difference</u> | FY2003 <u>Difference</u> | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Operating Expenses | 72,000 | 72,000 | | |
| <u>Funding:</u> State Special Revenue (02) | 72,000 | 72,000 | | |
| Revenues: State Special Revenue (02) | 0 | 0 | | |
| Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure): State Special Revenue (02) (\$72,000) (\$72,000) | | | | |